Smart Structures and Systems, Vol. 13, No. 3 (2014) 419-434 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.12989/sss.2014.13.3.419

# Investigation of the semi-active electromagnetic damper

# Morteza Montazeri-Gh<sup>a</sup> and Omid Kavianipour<sup>\*</sup>

#### Department of mechanical engineering, systems simulation and control laboratory, IUST, Narmak, Tehran 16844, Iran

(Received June 19, 2012, Revised April 6, 2013, Accepted May 17, 2013)

**Abstract.** In this paper, the electromagnetic damper (EMD), which is composed of a permanent-magnet rotary DC motor, a ball screw and a nut, is considered to be analyzed as a semi-active damper. The main objective pursued in the paper is to study the two degrees of freedom (DOF) model of the semi-active electromagnetic suspension system (SAEMSS) performance and energy regeneration controlled by on-off and continuous damping control strategies. The nonlinear equations of the SAEMSS must therefore be extracted. The effects of the EMD characteristics on ride comfort, handling performance and road holding for the passive electromagnetic suspension system (PEMSS) are first analyzed and damping control strategies effects on the SAEMSS performance and energy regeneration are investigated next. The results obtained from the simulation show that the SAEMSS provides better performance and more energy regeneration than the PEMSS. Moreover, the results reveal that the on-off hybrid control strategy leads to better performance in comparison with the continuous skyhook control strategy, however, the energy regeneration of the continuous skyhook control strategy is more than that of the on-off hybrid control strategy (except for on-off skyhook control strategy).

**Keywords:** semi-active electromagnetic suspension system; electromagnetic damper; ball screw shock absorber; energy regeneration

# 1. Introduction

During the design of vehicle suspensions, the most important objectives are to minimize the car body acceleration, suspension travel and wheel hop for better ride comfort, handling performance and road holding. Current automobile suspension systems using passive components can only offer a compromise between these conflicting criteria by providing spring and damping coefficients with fixed rates. However, semi-active vibration isolation involves changing the damping coefficient as a function of time. The conventional damper converts vibration energy into heat energy which is finally dissipated to the external environment. Apart from damper configuration, the amount of dissipated energy largely depends on the road roughness and the vehicle velocity. Under normal operating conditions, this energy may be considered insignificant. On the other hand, over rough roads, the energy may be higher and thus has the potential to be used. Therefore, a linear motor/generator may be adapted to replace existing damper in the vehicle suspension system.

Copyright © 2014 Techno-Press, Ltd.

http://www.techno-press.org/?journal=sss&subpage=8

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, Ph.D. Student, E-mail: o.kavianipour@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Professor, E-mail: montazeri@iust.ac.ir

The device may be alternated between damping and generating device to provide damping as well as extraction of energy. Even the small energy may help in improving the efficiency of vehicle, especially electric and hybrid electric vehicles.

There are several types of energy-regenerative suspension systems already under investigation, such as hydraulic storage suspension (Chen *et al.* 2007), rack and pinion suspension (Chen *et al.* 2006), ball screw suspension (Zhang *et al.* 2008) and linear motion suspension (Wu and Cao 2007). Developments achieved in power electronics, permanent-magnet materials, and microelectronic systems enable the possibility of actual implementation of electromagnetic actuators in order to improve the performance of vehicle suspension systems (Martins *et al.* 2006).

Karnopp (1989) and Ryba (1993) studied the electromagnetic dampers of linear motor and rotary motor, respectively. Gupta et al. (2003 and 2006) verified the theoretical researches of Karnopp and Ryba by experiments on a small all-terrain vehicle. Okada et al. (1995-1997) proposed an energy-regenerative active suspension system that applied a linear DC electromagnetic motor as an actuator. Suda et al. (1998) studied a self-powered active vibration control system. The system utilized two electromagnetic DC linear motors. One motor, called the regenerative damper, was placed in the primary suspension, and the other motor, called the actuator, was located in the secondary suspension. Nakano et al. (2003) studied the self-powered active vibration control using a single actuator. The actuator generated power while the speed of the armature is high. The regenerated power would be applied when the speed of the armature is low. Martins et al. (2006, 1999) produced the prototype of permanent-magnet linear actuator and investigated the dynamic performances of the actuator in low- and high-frequency excitation. Bose system equips each wheel with its own linear electromagnetic motor (Jones 2005). This system can effectively prevent body roll during turns and keep the car from dipping forward when braking. Suda and Shiba (1996) proposed a regenerative suspension system in which a rotary DC motor was used as an actuator. The rotary motion of DC motor was converted into the linear motion of suspension by the rack and pinion mechanism. Suda et al. (2000) produced a prototype of an electromagnetic suspension actuator in which ball screw mechanism was used to convert rotary motion into linear motion. Nakano and Suda (2004) applied this self-powered active vibration control system to the cab suspension of a truck. Kawamoto and Suda (2007) analyzed the frequency characteristics of energy balance of the electromagnetic damper. Experimental verification of energy-regenerative feasibility for an automotive electrical suspension system was carried out by Zhang et al. (2007). Zhang et al. (2009) investigated the application of the permanent-magnet DC motor actuator in automotive active suspension considering energy regeneration. Mahfoud and Hagopian (2012) investigated the critical speed suppressing by use of the electromagnetic actuators.

Due to the several advantages such as simple structure, high responsiveness, energy saving performance, controllability, etc., electromagnetic damper (EMD) or ball screw shock absorber is the future trend of automotive suspension systems. Despite the studies on the EMD, only a few works have considered the semi-active electromagnetic suspension system (SAEMSS). This paper investigates the feasibility of a semi-active EMD in providing adequate damping for isolation of vibration while generates energy from relative motion between sprung and unsprung masses. That is why the nonlinear equations of the SAEMSS must be developed. This work utilizes a simplified quarter vehicle model with linear spring and the EMD to illustrate its performance. The performances are evaluated in terms of ride index (RI), maximum suspension travel (MST) and maximum tyre deflection (MTD). This paper essentially consists of two parts:

The effects of the EMD characteristics on the passive electromagnetic suspension system (PEMSS) performance are analyzed in part one.

Part two makes use of the on-off and continuous damping control strategies for improving the performance of the EMD. In this part, a simulation study is carried out to show that the SAEMSS has good performance in comparison with the PEMSS while more vibration energy from the road excitation can be regenerated and saved in the capacitor.

#### 2. Passive electromagnetic suspension system

The PEMSS is studied in this section. Fig. 1 shows the assumed model for an electromagnetic suspension system. The EMD consists of the rotary DC motor and the ball screw mechanism as shown in the figure. It converts linear motion of vibration into input torque for DC motor. The EMD applies electromagnetic force as the damping force. In the passive application, the EMD has almost linear damping characteristics.

In Fig. 1,  $m_s$  is the sprung mass,  $m_u$  is the unsprung mass,  $k_s$  is the spring stiffness of the suspension system spring,  $k_t$  is the spring stiffness of the tyre,  $z_s$  is the body displacement,  $z_u$  is the wheel displacement, and  $z_g$  is the road excitation.

By applying the Newton's second law, the governing equations may be derived as follows

$$\begin{cases} -k_{s}z_{su} + f = m_{s}\ddot{z}_{s} \\ k_{s}z_{su} - f - k_{t}(z_{u} - z_{g}) = m_{u}\ddot{z}_{u} \\ z_{su} = z_{s} - z_{u} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $\binom{\bullet}{t} = d^2(t)/dt^2$ ,  $\binom{\bullet}{t} = d(t)/dt$ , and t is the time.  $z_{su}$  is the suspension travel in Eq. (1).

The modeling of the EMD for automobile suspension was presented by Kawamoto *et al.* (2007) and Zhang *et al.* (2009). The output force of the EMD (f) is in the form

$$f = u - c_e \dot{z}_{su} - I_e \ddot{z}_{su} \tag{2}$$



Fig. 1 Electromagnetic suspension system

where

 $\begin{cases} u = -\phi i \\ \phi = \frac{2\pi}{L_b} k_m \\ I_e = \left(\frac{2\pi}{L_b}\right)^2 \left(J_m + J_b\right) \end{cases}$ (3)

In Eqs. (2) and (3), u is the force,  $c_e$  is the equivalent damper coefficient,  $I_e$  is the equivalent inertia,  $\Phi$  is the motor constant, i is the current,  $L_b$  is the lead of ball screw,  $k_m$  is the torque constant,  $J_m$  is the moment of inertia of motor rotor, and  $J_b$  is the moment of inertia of ball screw.

As shown in Fig. 2, the motor circuit of EMD is modeled as the equivalent DC motor circuit.

Thus, the circuit equation is written as follow

$$e = d\dot{z}_{su} = L_i \dot{i} + R i \tag{4}$$

where e is the induced voltage,  $L_i$  is the inductance, and R includes both the internal resistance of the motor and the additional resistance placed in the circuit.

Using Laplace transform of Eqs. (2)-(4), Eq. (5) is obtained as following

$$F = -\frac{\phi^2 s}{R + L_i s} Z_{su} - c_e s Z_{su} - I_e s^2 Z_{su}$$
(5)

where F is Laplace transform of f and  $Z_{su}$  is Laplace transform of the suspension travel in Eq. (5).

In this study, the three most important transfer functions used to assess the performance of the electromagnetic suspension system are  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_3$ .  $H_1$  indicates the car body acceleration transfer function,  $H_2$  indicates the suspension travel transfer function, and  $H_3$  indicates the tyre deflection transfer function.



Fig. 2 Circuit diagram of motor

Investigation of the semi-active electromagnetic damper

$$H_{1}(s) = \frac{A_{s}(s)}{Z_{g}(s)} = \frac{\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} k_{t}I_{e}L_{i}^{2} \end{bmatrix} s^{6} + \begin{bmatrix} k_{t} \left( 2I_{e}RL_{i} + c_{e}L_{i}^{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} s^{5} + \begin{bmatrix} k_{t} \left( I_{e}R^{2} + 2c_{e}RL_{i} + L_{i}\phi^{2} + k_{s}L_{i}^{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} s^{4} + \end{bmatrix}}{a_{0}s^{6} + a_{1}s^{5} + a_{2}s^{4} + a_{3}s^{3} + a_{4}s^{2} + a_{5}s + a_{6}}$$
(6)

$$H_{2}(s) = \frac{Z_{su}(s)}{Z_{g}(s)} = \frac{-\left\{k_{i}m_{s}L_{i}^{2}\right\}s^{4} + \left[2k_{i}m_{s}RL_{i}\right]s^{3} + \left[k_{i}m_{s}R^{2}\right]s^{2}\right\}}{a_{0}s^{6} + a_{1}s^{5} + a_{2}s^{4} + a_{3}s^{3} + a_{4}s^{2} + a_{5}s + a_{6}}$$
(7)

$$H_{3}(s) = \frac{Z_{ug}(s)}{Z_{g}(s)} = \frac{\left[ m_{s} \left( I_{e} L_{i}^{2} \right) + m_{u} \left( (m_{s} + I_{e}) L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{6} + \left[ m_{s} \left( 2I_{e} RL_{i} + c_{e} L_{i}^{2} \right) + m_{u} \left( 2(m_{s} + I_{e}) RL_{i} + c_{e} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{5} + \left[ m_{s} \left( I_{e} R^{2} + 2c_{e} RL_{i} + L_{i} \phi^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) + m_{u} \left( (m_{s} + I_{e}) R^{2} + 2c_{e} RL_{i} + L_{i} \phi^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( R \phi^{2} + c_{e} R^{2} + 2k_{s} RL_{i} \right) + m_{u} \left( R \phi^{2} + c_{e} R^{2} + 2k_{s} RL_{i} \right) \right] s^{3} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) + m_{u} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{2} \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( R \phi^{2} + c_{e} R^{2} + 2k_{s} RL_{i} \right) + m_{u} \left( R \phi^{2} + c_{e} R^{2} + 2k_{s} RL_{i} \right) \right] s^{3} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) + m_{u} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{2} \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} RL_{i} \right) + m_{u} \left( R \phi^{2} + k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} RL_{i} \right) \right] s^{3} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) + m_{u} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} + k_{s} L_{i}^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} + \left[ m_{s} \left( k_{s} R^{2} \right) \right] s^{4} +$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_{0} = m_{s}m_{u}L_{i}^{2} + (m_{s} + m_{u})I_{e}L_{i}^{2} \\ a_{1} = 2m_{s}m_{u}RL_{i} + (m_{s} + m_{u})(2I_{e}RL_{i} + c_{e}L_{i}^{2}) \\ a_{2} = m_{s}m_{u}R^{2} + k_{t}(m_{s} + I_{e})L_{i}^{2} + (m_{s} + m_{u})(I_{e}R^{2} + 2c_{e}RL_{i} + L_{i}\phi^{2} + k_{s}L_{i}^{2}) \\ a_{3} = k_{t}(2(m_{s} + I_{e})RL_{i} + c_{e}L_{i}^{2}) + (m_{s} + m_{u})(R\phi^{2} + c_{e}R^{2} + 2k_{s}RL_{i}) \\ a_{4} = (m_{s} + m_{u})k_{s}R^{2} + k_{t}((m_{s} + I_{e})R^{2} + 2c_{e}RL_{i} + L_{i}\phi^{2} + k_{s}L_{i}^{2}) \\ a_{5} = k_{t}(R\phi^{2} + c_{e}R^{2} + 2k_{s}RL_{i}) \\ a_{6} = k_{t}k_{s}R^{2} \end{cases}$$
(9)

 $A_s$ , in Eq. (6), is Laplace transform of the car body acceleration,  $Z_{ug}$  is Laplace transform of the tyre deflection in Eq. (8), and  $Z_g$  is Laplace transform of the road excitation.

To insert the effects of road profiles in the analysis, the power spectral density (PSD) relationship between the input and output of the suspension system is utilized.

$$\begin{cases} G_{A_{s}}(\omega) = |H_{1}(\omega)|^{2} G_{z_{s}}(\omega) \\ G_{Z_{m}}(\omega) = |H_{2}(\omega)|^{2} G_{z_{s}}(\omega) \\ G_{Z_{m}}(\omega) = |H_{3}(\omega)|^{2} G_{z_{s}}(\omega) \end{cases}$$
(10)

where  $_{G_{A_s}}$ ,  $_{G_{Z_w}}$ ,  $_{G_{Z_w}}$ ,  $_{G_{Z_{ss}}}$ , and  $_{G_{z_s}}$  are the PSD of car body acceleration, suspension travel, tyre deflection, and road profiles respectively.  $\omega$  is the frequency in (rad/s). According to ISO 8608 standard (1995), PSD of road profiles is expressed in the form (Wong 2001)

$$G_{z_s}(\omega) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\nu}\right) \times C_{sp}\left(\frac{\omega/2\pi}{\nu}\right)^{-N}$$
(11)

where v is the vehicle speed,  $C_{sp}$  and N are constant parameters relevant to the type of road.

In this paper, the ride index is achieved in accordance with ISO 2631-1 standard (1997) for vertical vibration by use of the filter shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3 Frequency weightings for vertical vibration

As seen in the above equations, R is the most effective parameter of the EMD on the performance of the electromagnetic suspension system. Nevertheless, one of the objectives of this part is to investigate the effects of  $L_i$  on the performance of the electromagnetic suspension system beside R. The other characteristics of the EMD are considered in accordance with the papers of Hayashi *et al.* (2008) and Zhang *et al.* (2009). The mass of the EMD ( $m_{EMD}$ ) is assumed to be 10 (kg) added to the unsprung mass. The parameters values of the electromagnetic suspension system are given in Table 1.

Fig. 4 depicts the effect of the resistance (*R*) on the ride index (RI) in the different speeds of the vehicle for smooth highway ( $C_{sp} = 4.8 \times 10^{-7}$  and N = 2.1 in accordance with ISO 8608 standard (1995)) with  $L_i = 0.002$  (H). It indicates that the PEMSS has good performance for R = 5.5 ( $\Omega$ ) in the different speeds of the vehicle in spite of the fact that the RI will increase when the vehicle speed gets larger. Figs. 5 and 6 illustrate the effect of *R* on the maximum PSD of suspension travel (Max of  $G_{Z_{su}}$ ) and maximum PSD of tyre deflection (Max of  $G_{Z_{ug}}$ ) in the different speeds of the vehicle for smooth highway with  $L_i = 0.002$  (H). It is observed from the figures that when the vehicle speed and *R* get larger, Max of  $G_{Z_{su}}$  and Max of  $G_{Z_{ug}}$  will increase which are not desirable.

Parameter	value
$m_s$	344 (kg)
$k_s$	20053 (N/m)
$m_u$	40 (kg)
$k_t$	182087 (N/m)
$\Phi$	66 (N/A)
$I_e$	18 (kg)
$C_e$	20 (N s/m)

Table 1 Parameters values of the electromagnetic suspension system



Fig. 4 Effect of the *R* on the RI for  $L_i = 0.002$  (H) in the different speeds



Fig. 5 Effect of the *R* on the Max of  $G_{Zsu}$  for  $L_i = 0.002$  (H) in the different speeds



Fig. 6 Effect of the *R* on the Max of  $G_{Zug}$  for  $L_i = 0.002$  (H) in the different speeds



Fig. 7 Effect of the  $L_i$  on the RI for R = 5.5 ( $\Omega$ ) in the different speeds



Fig. 8 Effect of the  $L_i$  on the Max of  $G_{Zsu}$  for R = 5.5 ( $\Omega$ ) in the different speeds



Fig. 9 Effect of the  $L_i$  on the Max of  $G_{Zug}$  for R = 5.5 ( $\Omega$ ) in the different speeds

Figs. 7-9 show the effect of the inductance  $(L_i)$  on the RI, Max of  $G_{Z_{su}}$ , and Max of  $G_{Z_{ug}}$  in the different speeds of the vehicle for smooth highway with R = 5.5 ( $\Omega$ ) respectively. It can be generally inferred that when vehicle speed gets larger, the performance becomes undesirable.

However, the effect of  $L_i$  on the RI, Max of  $G_{Z_{su}}$ , and Max of  $G_{Z_{ug}}$  is approximately insignificant.

# 3. Semi-Active electromagnetic suspension system

High-frequency disturbances cause destructive effects on the performance of the electromagnetic suspension system. It can be shown that relatively high spring stiffness is needed to remove the problem and keep good performance of the electromagnetic suspension system (Graves 2000, Montazeri-Gh and Kavianipour 2012). Therefore, a modified electromagnetic suspension system model is proposed to remove the problem and is shown in Fig. 10.

This model has a spring  $(k_a)$  in series with the EMD. The reasoning behind this design stems from the notion that a spring in series with the EMD would isolate the EMD from high-frequency disturbances. In this study, a modified electromagnetic suspension system includes only one added spring under the EMD since the damper would reduce the amplitude of the input disturbance transmitted to the EMD and, consequently, would reduce the regenerative energy efficiency.

The mathematical equations of the modified electromagnetic suspension system are given in Eq. (12).

$$\begin{cases} -k_{s}(z_{s} - z_{u}) + f = m_{s} \ddot{z}_{s} \\ -k_{a}(z_{EMD} - z_{u}) - f = m_{EMD} \ddot{z}_{EMD} \\ k_{a}(z_{EMD} - z_{u}) + k_{s}(z_{s} - z_{u}) - k_{t}(z_{u} - z_{g}) = m_{u} \ddot{z}_{u} \end{cases}$$
(12)

Eqs. (2) and (3) can be used for the modified electromagnetic suspension system. The only difference in this section is related to the parameter of  $z_{su}$ . For the modified electromagnetic suspension system,  $z_{su}$  (in Eq. (2)) alters to the form of  $z_{sE} = z_s - z_{EMD}$ .

Due to environmental concerns and fuel price increase, low-emission and fuel-efficient vehicles are of vital importance and are of interest to many researchers in automobile industry. In this direction, electric vehicles and hybrid electric vehicles were introduced as the dominant alternatives for conventional vehicles. The use of electrical components has created new opportunities for vehicle dynamics, safety and performance improvements. Moreover, due to the energy storage systems, these vehicles provide the capability of energy regeneration in the vehicle subsystems.

In this paper, energy regeneration in the modified electromagnetic suspension system shown in Fig. 10 is investigated by numerical evaluation. Since there is a capacitor in the electric circuit of EMD for energy regeneration, the circuit equation should be revised as the following

$$d\dot{z}_{sE} = L_i \dot{i} + Ri + E \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{z}_{sE})$$
(13)

where *E* is the voltage of the capacitor.

It may be shown that the relationship between the variation of the capacitor voltage and the current is expressed in the form

$$\dot{E} = \frac{1}{c_{cap}}i$$
(14)

where  $c_{cap}$  is the capacity of the capacitor.

The EMD rectifies induced voltage and transfers the energy to the capacitor. The mode in which the EMD regenerates vibration energy is called the regeneration mode (Nakano and Suda 2004). However, when the magnitude of the induced voltage is less than the voltage of the capacitor, the EMD can NOT transfer it to the capacitor. In this mode, the EMD ceases regeneration. This mode is called the dissipation mode (Nakano and Suda 2004). The circumstance of operating the electric circuit of the EMD is illustrated in Fig. 11. To calculate the variation of the capacitor voltage, the nonlinear equations of the EMD electric circuit must be extracted in accordance with Fig. 11.



Fig. 10 Modified electromagnetic suspension model





(b) Electric circuit of the EMD in dissipation mode

Fig. 11 Electric circuit of the EMD

Accordingly, the EMD force and the equations of its electric circuit are described as when  $\phi |\dot{z}_{sE}| \geq E$  (regeneration mode)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{i} = \frac{1}{L_i} (\phi \dot{z}_{sE} - Ri - E \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{z}_{sE})) \\ \dot{E} = \frac{1}{c_{cap}} i \\ u = -\phi i \end{cases}$$
(15)

when  $\phi |\dot{z}_{sE}| < E$  (dissipation mode)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{i} &= \frac{1}{L_i} (\phi \dot{z}_{sE} - Ri) \\ \dot{E} &= 0 \\ u &= -\phi i \end{aligned}$$
(16)

Comparing Eq. (15) with Eq. (16), it can be found that the EMD changes its damping force when it regenerates vibration energy.

Various semi-active control algorithms have been suggested by many researchers. This paper studies the modified SAEMSS performance controlled by on-off and continuous damping control strategies. Adaptive control, fuzzy logic, neural network,  $H_{\infty}$  and sliding mode control are other methods for semi-active suspension system control. Although complicated control strategies may tender some advantages, considerable performance gains can still be realized with more basic control strategies.

# 3.1 On-Off hybrid control strategy

A control scheme has been developed which utilizes a linear combination of skyhook and groundhook control. With this hybrid control scheme, the suspension can be tuned to respond exactly as it would with skyhook control or groundhook control. In addition, the suspension can be adjusted such that it responds as a combination of the two, with more or less influence given to the skyhook or groundhook component (Ahmadian 1997).

In on-off hybrid control scheme, the damper is controlled by a linear combination of two damping values. Also, the determination of whether the damper is to be adjusted to either its high state or its low state depends on the signs of  $\dot{z}_s \dot{z}_{su}$  and  $\dot{z}_u \dot{z}_{su}$ . The on-off hybrid control strategy for SAEMSS can be designed as

$$R = \alpha R_s + (1 - \alpha) R_g \tag{17}$$

where

Morteza Montazeri-Gh and Omid Kavianipour

$$R_{s} = \begin{cases} R_{\min} & \dot{z}_{s} \dot{z}_{su} \ge 0 \\ R_{\max} & \dot{z}_{s} \dot{z}_{su} < 0 \end{cases}, \quad R_{g} = \begin{cases} R_{\min} & -\dot{z}_{u} \dot{z}_{su} \ge 0 \\ R_{\max} & -\dot{z}_{u} \dot{z}_{su} < 0 \end{cases}$$
(18)

 $R_s$  and  $R_g$  are the skyhook and groundhook components of the damping force. The variable  $\alpha$  is the relative ratio between the skyhook and groundhook control. When  $\alpha$  is 1, the control policy transforms to pure skyhook, whereas when  $\alpha$  is 0, the control is purely groundhook.

#### 3.2 Continuous skyhook control strategy

The on-off hybrid system is much simpler than the continuous skyhook system. However, the jerks produced in the on-off model are more than continuous model (Shamsi and Choupani 2008). The continuous skyhook control strategy for SAEMSS can be written as

$$R = \begin{cases} \min\left\{R_{\max}, \max\left\{R_{c}\frac{\dot{z}_{s}}{\dot{z}_{su}}, R_{\min}\right\}\right\} & \dot{z}_{s}\dot{z}_{su} \ge 0 \\ R_{\max} & \dot{z}_{s}\dot{z}_{su} < 0 \end{cases}$$
(19)

where  $R_c$  is the constant value selected by the designer and  $R_{min} < R_c < R_{max}$ .

The main objective of this part is to compare two basic control strategies in the vibration isolation. The performances of on-off hybrid and continuous skyhook control strategies are investigated and compared with that of a passive EMD.

In this paper, it is assumed that the vehicle is driven on a road with the random irregularities shown in Fig. 12. The time-domain road profile was obtained through the direct measurement of the road irregularities. The measurement was performed in the city of Tehran by the Automotive Industry Research and Innovation Centre of SAIPA Company (Montazeri-Gh and Soleymani 2010). Fig. 12 shows the measured time-domain profile of the mentioned road for one of the vehicle wheels.



Fig. 12 Road profile

The results of simulation are presented in Figs. 13-18 for the values specified in Table 1, and  $k_a = 200000 \text{ (N/m)}, L_i = 0.002 \text{ (H)}, c_{cap} = 10 \text{ (F)}, R_{min} = 1.16 \text{ (}\Omega\text{)}, R_{max} = 5.5 \text{ (}\Omega\text{)}, \text{ and } R_c = 1.5 \text{ (}\Omega\text{)}.$  Also, the value of *R* is supposed to be 5.5 ( $\Omega$ ) for the PEMSS.

It can be generally deduced from Figs. 13-16 that the SAEMSS has better performance and more energy regeneration than the PEMSS. As seen in these figures, the on-off hybrid control strategy (SAEMSS-A) has better performance in comparison with the continuous skyhook control strategy (SAEMSS-B), however, the energy regeneration of the SAEMSS-B is more than that of the SAEMSS-A (except for  $\alpha = 1$ ). It can be observed from these figures that the least RI and MTD is related to  $\alpha = 0.2$  while the on-off groundhook control strategy ( $\alpha = 0$ ) causes the least MST.



Fig. 13 The comparison of RI between the PEMSS and two types of SAEMSS



Fig. 14 The comparison of MST between the PEMSS and two types of SAEMSS



Fig. 15 The comparison of MTD between the PEMSS and two types of SAEMSS



Fig. 16 The comparison of the capacitor voltage between the PEMSS and two types of SAEMSS



Fig. 17 The comparison of the body acceleration PSD between the PEMSS and two types of SAEMSS considering  $\alpha = 1$ 



Fig. 18 The comparison of the current PSD between the PEMSS and two types of SAEMSS considering  $\alpha = 1$ 

Fig. 17 reveals that the PSD of the body acceleration of the PEMSS is higher than that of the SAEMSS-B and SAEMSS-A with  $\alpha = 1$  (on-off skyhook control strategy) near the first natural frequency of the system caused the RI of the PEMSS becomes more than that of the SAEMSS-B and SAEMSS-A with  $\alpha = 1$  (as seen in Fig. 13). It is quite clear from Fig. 18 that the higher PSD of the current results in the less RI. In another word, high current in the motor circuit of EMD leads to the better performance of the suspension system from the RI point of view.

# 4. Conclusions

In this work, the nonlinear equations of the semi-active electromagnetic suspension system (SAEMSS) were developed and the performance of the SAEMSS and the passive electromagnetic suspension system (PEMSS) were investigated and compared. Furthermore, the effects of the resistance (R) and inductance ( $L_i$ ) on the performance of the PEMSS in the different speeds of the vehicle (v) were studied. It was distinguished that the maximum PSD of suspension travel (Max of  $G_{Z_{su}}$ ) and the maximum PSD of tyre deflection (Max of  $G_{Z_{ug}}$ ) would increase when R and v got larger. However, the PEMSS had good ride index (RI) for R = 5.5 ( $\Omega$ ) in the different speeds of the vehicle. In spite of this, the RI increased with the increase in v. Moreover, the effect of the  $L_i$  on the RI, Max of  $G_{Z_{su}}$ , and Max of  $G_{Z_{ug}}$  was approximately insignificant. In addition, the modified SAEMSS performance controlled by on-off hybrid control strategy (SAEMSS-A) and continuous skyhook control strategy (SAEMSS-B) were investigated. It was revealed that the both type of the SAEMSS have better performance in comparison with the SAEMSS-B, however, the energy regeneration of the SAEMSS-B is more than that of the SAEMSS-A (except for  $\alpha = 1$ ). The findings also indicated that the better performance of the electromagnetic suspension system from the RI point of view requires the higher current in the motor circuit of EMD.

#### References

- Ahmadian, M. (1997), "A hybrid semi-active control for secondary suspension applications", Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> ASME Symposium on Advanced Automotive Technologies, ASME International Congress and Exposition, November.
- Chen, S., He, R. and Lu, S. (2006), "Operation theory and structure evaluation of reclaiming energy suspension", *T. Chinese Soc. Agric. Machin.*, **37**(5), 5-9 (in Chinese).
- Chen, S., He, R. and Lu, S. (2007), "New reclaiming energy suspension and its working principle", *Chinese J. Mech. Eng.*, **13**(11), 177-182 (in Chinese).
- Graves, K.E. (2000), *Electromagnetic energy regenerative vibration damping*, Ph.D. Dessertation, Industrial Research Institute Swinburne, Swinburne University of Technology Hawthorn, Victoria, Australia.
- Gupta, A., Mulcahy, T.M. and Hull, J.R. (2003), "Electromagnetic shock absorbers", *Proceedings of the 21<sup>th</sup> International Modal Analysis Conference Kissimmee*, FL, USA.
- Gupta, A., Jendrzejczyk, J.A., Mulcahy, T.M. and Hull, J.R. (2006), "Design of electromagnetic shock absorbers", Int. J. Mech, Mater. Des., 3(3), 43-54.
- Hayashi, R., Suda, Y. and Nakano, K. (2008), "Anti-rolling suspension for an automobile by coupled electromagnetic devices", J. Mech. Syst. T. Logistics, 1(1), 524-535.
- ISO 8608 (1995), Mechanical vibration road surface profiles reporting of measured data.
- ISO 2631-1 (1997), Mechanical vibration and shock evaluation of human exposure to whole-body vibration.
- Jones, W. (2005), "Easy ride: Bose Corp. uses speaker technology to give cars adaptive suspension", *IEEE Spectrum*, **42**(5), 12-14.
- Karnopp, D. (1989), "Permanent magnet linear motors used as variable mechanical dampers for vehicle suspensions", Vehicle. Syst. Dyn., 18(4), 187-200.
- Kawamoto, Y., Suda, Y., Inoue, H. and Kondo, T. (2007), "Modeling of electromagnetic damper for automobile suspension", J. Syst. Des. Dyn., 1(3), 524-535.
- Mahfoud, J. and Der Hagopian, J. (2012), "Investigations on critical speed suppressing by using electromagnetic actuators", *Smart Struct. Syst.*, **9**(4), 303-311.

- Martins, I., Esteves, M., Pina Da Silva, F. and Verdelho, P. (1999), "Electromagnetic hybrid active-passive vehicle suspension system", *Proceedings of the 49<sup>th</sup> Vehicular Technology Conference, IEEE*, Houston, Texas, USA.
- Martins, I., Esteves, J., Marques, G.D. and Pina da Silva, F. (2006), "Permanent-magnets linear actuators applicability in automobile active suspensions", *IEEE T. Veh. Technol.*, **55**(1), 86-94.
- Montazeri-Gh, M. and Soleymani, M. (2010), "Investigation of the energy regeneration of active suspension system in hybrid electric vehicles", *IEEE T. Ind. Electron.*, **57**(3), 918-925.
- Montazeri-Gh, M. and Kavianipour, O. (2012), "Investigation of the passive electromagnetic damper", Acta Mech, 223(12), 2633-2646.
- Nakano, K., Suda, Y. and Nakadai, S. (2003), "Self-powered active vibration control using a single electric actuator", J. Sound. Vib., 260(2), 213-235.
- Nakano, K. and Suda, Y. (2004), "Combined type self-powered active vibration control of truck cabins", *Vehicle. Syst. Dyn.*, **41**(6), 449-473.
- Okada, Y. and Harada, H. (1995), "Active and regenerative control of electrodynamic vibration damper", *Proceedings of the 1995 Design Engineering Technical Conference, ASME*, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- Okada, Y. and Harada, H. (1996), "Regenerative control of active vibration damper and suspension systems", *Proceedings of the 35<sup>th</sup> IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Kobe, Japan.
- Okada, Y., Harada, H. and Suzuki, K. (1996), "Active and regenerative control of linear DC motor type damper", *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Motion and Vibration Control, JSME*, Chiba.
- Okada, Y., Harada, H. and Suzuki, K. (1997), "Active and regenerative control of an electrodynamic-type suspension", *JSME Int. J. C dynamics, control, robotics, design and manufacturing*, **40**(2), 272-278.
- Ryba, D. (1993), "Semi-active damping with an electromagnetic force generator", *Vehicle. Syst. Dyn.*, **22**(2), 79-95.
- Suda, Y. and Shiba, T. (1996), "A new hybrid suspension system with active control and energy regeneration", Vehicle. Syst. Dyn., 25, 641-654.
- Suda, Y., Nakadai, S. and Nakano, K. (1998), "Study on the self-powered active vibration control", *Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> international conference on motion and vibration control (MOVIC)*, Zurich, Switzerland.
- Suda, Y., Nakadai, S. and Nakano, K. (1998), "Hybrid suspension system with skyhook control and energy regeneration (development of self-powered active suspension)", *Vehicle. Syst. Dyn.*, **29**(1), 619-634.
- Suda, Y., Suematsu, K., Nakano, K. and Shiba, T. (2000), "Study on electromagnetic suspension for automobiles - simulation and experiments of performance", *Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Advanced Vehicle Control*, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA.
- Shamsi, A. and Choupani, N. (2008), "Continuous and discontinuous shock absorber control through skyhook strategy in semi-active suspension system (4DOF Model)", *Eng. Technol.*, 41, 745-749.
- Wong, J.Y. (2001), Theory of ground vehicles, (3rd Ed.), Wiley, Canada.
- Wu, Z. and Cao, Y. (2007), "Brief introduction to structure and principle of electromagnetic shock absorber", *Motor Technology*, 8, 56-59.
- Zhang, Y., Huang, K., Yu, F., Gu, Y. and Li, D. (2007), "Experimental verification of energy-regenerative feasibility for an automotive electrical suspension system", *IEEE*, 1-5.
- Zhang, Y., Yu, F., Gu, Y. and Zheng, X. (2008), "Isolation and energy regenerative performance experimental verification of automotive electrical suspension", *J. Shanghai Jiaotong Univ.*, **42**(6), 874-877.
- Zhang, Y., Yu, F. and Huang, K. (2009), *Permanent-magnet DC motor actuators application in automotive energy-regenerative active suspension*, SAE Technical Paper.